

29.5: Common Branding Strategies

Learning Objectives

- Describe common branding strategies

Managing Brands As Strategic Assets

As organizations establish and build strong brands, they can pursue a number of strategies to continue developing them and extending their value to stakeholders (customers, retailers, supply chain and distribution partners, and of course the organization itself).

Brand Ownership




Figure 29.5.1: Steve Jobs, co-founder and long-time CEO of Apple

Who “owns” the brand? The legal owner of a brand is generally the individual or entity in whose name the legal registration has been filed. Operationally speaking, brand ownership should be the responsibility of an organization’s management and employees. Brand ownership is about building and maintaining a brand that reflects your principles and values. Brand *building* is about effectively persuading customers to believe in and purchase your product or service. Iconic brands, such as Apple and Disney, often have a history of visionary leaders who champion the brand, evangelize about it, and build it into the organizational culture and operations.

Branding Strategies

A branding strategy helps establish a product within the market and to build a brand that will grow and mature. Making smart branding decisions up front is crucial since a company may have to live with their decisions for a long time. The following are commonly used branding strategies:

Branded House Strategy

A “branded house” strategy (sometimes called a “house brand”) uses a strong brand—typically the company name—as the identifying brand name for a range of products (for example, Mercedes Benz or Black & Decker) or a range of subsidiary brands (such as Cadbury Dairy Milk or Cadbury Fingers). Because the primary focus and investment is in a single, dominant “house” brand, this approach can be simpler and more cost-effective in the long run when it is well aligned with broader corporate strategy.



Figure 29.5.2: Modern Kool-Aid Man

House of Brands Strategy

With the “house of brands” strategy, a company invests in building out a variety of individual, product-level brands. Each of these brands has a separate name and may not be associated with the parent company name at all. These brands may even be in de facto

competition with other brands from the same company. For example, Kool-Aid and Tang are two powdered beverage products, both owned by Kraft Foods. The “house of brands” strategy is well suited to companies that operate across many product categories at the same time. It allows greater flexibility to introduce a variety of different products, of differing quality, to be sold without confusing the consumer’s perception of what business the company is in or diluting brand perceptions about products that target different tiers or types of consumers within the same product category.

Private Label or Store Branding

Also called store branding, private-label branding has become increasingly popular. In cases where the retailer has a particularly strong identity, the private label may be able to compete against even the strongest brand leaders and may outperform those products that are not otherwise strongly branded. The northeastern U.S. grocery chain Wegman’s offers many grocery products that carry the Wegman’s brand name. Meanwhile national grocery chain Safeway offers several different private label “store” brands: Safeway Select, Organics, Signature Cafe, and Primo Taglio, among others.^[1]

“No-Brand” Branding

A number of companies successfully pursue “no-brand” strategies by creating packaging that imitates generic-brand simplicity. “No brand” branding can be considered a type of branding since the product is made conspicuous by the absence of a brand name. “Tapa Amarilla” or “Yellow Cap” in Venezuela during the 1980s is a prime example of no-brand strategy. It was recognized simply by the color of the cap of this cleaning products company.



Figure \(\backslash\)
PageIndex
{3}\): Sierra Club

Personal or Organization Brands

Personal and organizational branding are strategies for developing a brand image and marketing engine around individual people or groups. Personal branding treats persons and their careers as products to be branded and sold to target audiences. Organizational branding promotes the mission, goals, and/or work of the group being branded. The music and entertainment industries provide many examples of personal and organizational branding. From Justin Bieber to George Clooney to Kim Kardashian, virtually any celebrity today is a personal brand. Likewise, bands, orchestras, and other artistic groups typically cultivate an organizational (or group) brand. Faith branding is a variant of this brand strategy, which treats religious figures and organizations as brands seeking to increase their following. Mission-driven organizations such as the Girl Scouts of America, the Sierra Club, the National Rifle Association (among millions of others) pursue organizational branding to expand their membership, resources, and impact.

Place Branding

The developing fields of place branding and nation branding work on the assumption that places compete with other places to win over people, investment, tourism, economic development, and other resources. With this in mind, public administrators, civic leaders, and business groups may team up to “brand” and promote their city, region, or nation among target audiences. Depending on the goals they are trying to achieve, targets for these marketing initiatives may be real-estate developers, employers and business investors, tourists and tour/travel operators, and so forth. While place branding may focus on any given geographic area or destination, nation branding aims to measure, build, and manage the reputation of countries.

The city-state Singapore is an early, successful example of nation branding. The edgy Las Vegas “What Happens Here, Stays Here” campaign, shown in in the following video, is a well-known example of place branding.



You can view the [transcript for “What Happens Here, Stays Here”](#) (opens in new window) or the [text alternative for “What Happens Here, Stays Here”](#) (opens in new window).

Co-Branding

Co-branding is an arrangement in which two established brands collaborate to offer a single product or service that carries both brand names. In these relationships, generally both parties contribute something of value to the new offering that neither would have been able to achieve independently. Effective co-branding builds on the complementary strengths of the existing brands. It can also allow each brand an entry point into markets in which they would not otherwise be credible players.

The following are some examples of co-branded offerings:

- Delta Airlines and American Express offer an entire family of co-branded credit cards; other airlines offer similar co-branded cards that offer customer rewards in terms of frequent flyer points and special offers.
- Fiat 500 “Barbie”
- Home furnishings company Pottery Barn and the paint manufacturer Benjamin Moore co-brand seasonal color palettes for home interior paints
- Fashion designer Liz Lange designs a ready-to-wear clothing line co-branded with and sold exclusively at Target stores
- Auto maker Fiat and toy maker Mattel teamed up to celebrate Barbie’s fiftieth anniversary with the nail-polish-pink Fiat 500 Barbie car.



Figure 29.5.4: Copy and Paste Caption here. (Copyright; author via source)

Co-branding is a common brand-building strategy, but it can present difficulties. There is always risk around how well the market will receive new offerings, and sometimes, despite the best-laid plans, co-branded offerings fall flat. Also, these arrangements often involve complex legal agreements that are difficult to implement. Co-branding relationships may be unevenly matched, with the partners having different visions for their collaboration, placing different priority on the importance of the co-branded venture, or one partner holding significantly more power than the other in determining how they work together. Because co-branding impacts the existing brands, the partners may struggle with how to protect their current brands while introducing something new and possibly risky.

Brand Licensing



Figure 29.5.5: Campbell's "Star Wars" Soup. Source: <http://www.campbells.com/star-wars/>

Brand licensing is the process of leasing or renting the right to use a brand in association with a product or set of products for a defined period and within a defined market, geography, or territory. Through a licensing agreement, a firm (licensor) provides some tangible or intangible asset to another firm (licensee) and grants that firm the right to use the licensor's brand name and related brand assets in return for some payment. The licensee obtains a competitive advantage in this arrangement, while the licensor obtains inexpensive access to the market in question.

Licensing can be extremely lucrative for the owner of the brand, as other organizations pay for permission to produce products carrying a licensed name. The Walt Disney Company was an early pioneer in brand licensing, and it remains a leader in this area with its wildly popular entertainment and toy brands: Star Wars, Disney Princesses, Toy Story, Mickey Mouse, and so on. Toy manufacturers, for example, pay millions of dollars and vie for the rights to produce and sell products affiliated with these "super-brands."

Line Extensions and Brand Extensions

Organizations use line extensions and brand extensions to leverage and increase brand equity.

Diet Coke is a line extension of the Coke brand.



Figure 29.5.6: Diet Coke is a line extension of the Coke brand.

A company creates a **line extension** when it introduces a new variety of offering within the same product category. To illustrate with the food industry, a company might add new flavors, package sizes, nutritional content, or products containing special additives in line extensions. Line extensions aim to provide more variety and hopefully capture more of the market within a given category. More than half of all new products introduced each year are line extensions. For example, M&M candy varieties such as peanut, pretzel, peanut butter, and dark chocolate are all line extensions of the M&M brand. Diet Coke™ is a line extension of the parent brand Coke™. While the products have distinct differences, they are in the same product category.

A **brand extension** moves an existing brand name into a new product category, with a new or somehow modified product. In this scenario, a company uses the strength of an established product to launch a product in a different category, hoping the popularity of the original brand will increase receptivity of the new product. An example of a brand extension is the offering of Jell-O pudding pops in addition to the original product, Jell-O gelatin. This strategy increases awareness of the brand name and increases profitability from offerings in more than one product category.

Line extensions and brand extensions are important tools for companies because they reduce financial risk associated with new-product development by leveraging the equity in the parent brand name to enhance consumers' perceptions and receptivity towards

new products. Due to the established success of the parent brand, consumers will have instant recognition of the product name and be more likely to try the new line extension.

? Practice Question

<https://assessments.lumenlearning.co...essments/14493>

1. "Our Brands." Safeway. Accessed June 25, 2019. <http://www.safeway.com/ShopStores/Brands/Our-Brands.page>. ↵

Contributors and Attributions

CC licensed content, Original

- Revision and adaptation. **Provided by:** Lumen Learning. **License:** [CC BY-SA: Attribution-ShareAlike](#)
- Practice Question. **Authored by:** Robert Danielson. **Provided by:** Lumen Learning. **License:** [CC BY: Attribution](#)

CC licensed content, Shared previously

- Branding. **Authored by:** Linda Williams and Lumen Learning. **Located at:** courses.candelalearning.com/masterybusiness2xngcxmasterfall2015/chapter/reading-branding-labeling-and-packaging/. **License:** [CC BY-SA: Attribution-ShareAlike](#)
- Image: Steve Jobs. **Authored by:** Steve Jurvetson. **Located at:** https://en.Wikipedia.org/wiki/Steve_Jobs#/media/File:Steve_Jobs_with_red_shawl_edit2.jpg. **License:** [CC BY: Attribution](#)
- Image: Outings Sierra Club GA. **Provided by:** Sierra Club GA. **Located at:** <https://www.flickr.com/photos/gasierraclub/23718280462/>. **License:** [CC BY-NC: Attribution-NonCommercial](#)
- Image: Fiat 500 Barbie. **Authored by:** Xavigivax. **Located at:** https://es.Wikipedia.org/wiki/Fiat_500_Barbie#/media/File:Fiat_Barbie_500.jpg. **License:** [CC BY-SA: Attribution-ShareAlike](#)

All rights reserved content

- Image: Kool-Aid Man. **Provided by:** Kraft Foods. **Located at:** en.Wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Kool_Aid_Man.jpeg. **License:** *All Rights Reserved*. **License Terms:** Fair use under U.S. copyright law
- Image: Campbell's Star Wars Soup. **Provided by:** Campbell's Soup Company. **License:** *All Rights Reserved*. **License Terms:** Fair use under U.S. copyright law
- What Happens Here, Stays Here - Sketchbook Commercial. **Authored by:** Visit Las Vegas. **Located at:** <https://youtu.be/HsCJCsDd2IY>. **License:** *All Rights Reserved*. **License Terms:** Standard YouTube license

Public domain content

- Image: Diet Coke. **Provided by:** Wikimedia. **Located at:** <https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Diet-Coke-Can.jpg>. **License:** [Public Domain: No Known Copyright](#)

29.5: Common Branding Strategies is shared under a [not declared](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by LibreTexts.