

## 8.S: Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions (Summary)

**Before you move on to the next chapter, you should be comfortable with the following concepts and skills:**

### Nucleophilic substitution basics

- Illustrate the transition state for an  $S_N2$  reaction
- Draw a complete mechanism for an  $S_N1$  reaction, in particular a hydrolysis or other solvolysis  $S_N1$  reaction.
- Illustrate all transition states that are part of an  $S_N1$  reaction.
- Understand that non-enzymatic  $S_N1$  reactions result in both inversion and retention of configuration (racemization) at the electrophilic carbon. Enzymatic  $S_N1$  reactions are stereospecific, usually resulting in inversion at the electrophilic carbon.

### Nucleophiles

- Be able to recognize the nucleophile, electrophile, and leaving group in an  $S_N1$  or  $S_N2$  reaction.
- Understand that – with the exception of the vertical periodic trend in protic solvents – in most cases anything that makes something a stronger base also makes it a more powerful nucleophile:
  - The vertical periodic trend in nucleophilicity for reactions in polar aprotic solvents: chloride ion is a better nucleophile than bromide ion in acetone solvent.
- Inductive effect: electron-withdrawing groups decrease nucleophilicity
- Resonance effects:
  - Delocalization of negative charge/electron density decreases nucleophilicity. For example, methoxide ion ( $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-$ ) is a stronger nucleophile than acetate ion.
- In addition:
  - The vertical periodic trend in protic solvent (water or alcohol) is opposite the trend in basicity: for example, thiols are more nucleophilic than alcohols.
- Electrophiles
  - Less hindered electrophiles will react faster in  $S_N2$  reactions: for example chloromethane is a better electrophile than a primary alkyl chloride.

### Leaving groups

- Common laboratory leaving groups are halides and para-toluenesulfonate (abbreviated tosyl, or OTs).
- Common biochemical leaving groups are phosphates and sulfide.

### Carbocation stability

- More substituted carbocations are more stable: for example, a tertiary carbocation is more stable than a secondary carbocation.
- The presence of electron-withdrawing groups (by inductive or resonance effects) decreases carbocation stability.
- The presence of a heteroatom can stabilize a nearby carbocation by the resonance-based electron donating effect. Otherwise, heteroatoms act as weakly electron withdrawing carbocation-destabilizing groups by inductive effects.

### General concepts and skills

- Be able to predict whether a given substitution reaction is likely to proceed by  $S_N2$  or  $S_N1$  mechanisms, based on the identity of the nucleophile, the electrophile, and the solvent.
  - $S_N1$  reactions involve weaker nucleophiles relatively stable carbocations, and are accelerated by protic solvents.
- Be able to 'think backwards' to show the starting compounds in a substitution reaction, given a product or products.
- Understand how *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) acts as a methyl group donor in biochemical  $S_N2$  reactions.
- Be able to select appropriate alkyl halide and alcohol starting compounds to synthesize a given ether product, using the Williamson ether synthesis procedure.

### Contributors and Attributions

- [Organic Chemistry With a Biological Emphasis](#) by [Tim Soderberg](#) (University of Minnesota, Morris)

This page titled [8.S: Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions \(Summary\)](#) is shared under a [CC BY-NC-SA 4.0](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Tim Soderberg](#) via [source content](#) that was edited to the style and standards of the LibreTexts platform.