

6.6: The Slater-Condon Rules

To form Hamiltonian matrix elements $H_{K,L}$ between any pair of Slater determinants constructed from spin-orbitals that are orthonormal, one uses the so-called Slater-Condon rules. These rules express all non-vanishing matrix elements involving either one- or two- electron operators. One-electron operators are additive and appear as

$$F = \sum_i \phi(i); \quad (6.6.1)$$

two-electron operators are pairwise additive and appear as

$$G = \sum_{i < j} g(i, j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} g(i, j). \quad (6.6.2)$$

The Slater-Condon rules give the matrix elements between two determinants

$$| \rangle = | \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \dots \phi_N | \quad (6.6.3)$$

and

$$| ' \rangle = | \phi'_1 \phi'_2 \phi'_3 \dots \phi'_N | \quad (6.6.4)$$

for any quantum mechanical operator that is a sum of one- and two- electron operators ($F + G$). It expresses these matrix elements in terms of one- and two-electron integrals involving the spin-orbitals that appear in $| \rangle$ and $| ' \rangle$ and the operators f and g .

As a first step in applying these rules, one must examine $| \rangle$ and $| ' \rangle$ and determine by how many (if any) spin-orbitals $| \rangle$ and $| ' \rangle$ differ. In so doing, one may have to reorder the spin-orbitals in one of the determinants to achieve maximal coincidence with those in the other determinant; it is essential to keep track of the number of permutations (N_p) that one makes in achieving maximal coincidence. The results of the Slater-Condon rules given below are then multiplied by $(-1)^{N_p}$ to obtain the matrix elements between the original $| \rangle$ and $| ' \rangle$. The final result does not depend on whether one chooses to permute $| \rangle$ or $| ' \rangle$ to determine N_p .

The Hamiltonian is, of course, a specific example of such an operator that contains both one- and two-electron components; the electric dipole operator $\sum_i e \mathbf{r}_i$ and the electronic kinetic energy $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_i \nabla_i^2$ are examples of one-electron operators (for which one takes $g = 0$); the electron-electron coulomb interaction $\sum_{i < j} e^2 / r_{ij}$ is a two-electron operator (for which one takes $f = 0$).

The two Slater determinants whose matrix elements are to be determined can be written as

$$| \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P=1}^{N!} (-1)^P P \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \dots \phi_k(k) \dots \phi_n(n) \dots \phi_N(N) \quad (6.6.5)$$

$$| ' \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P=1}^{N!} (-1)^Q Q \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \dots \phi'_k(k) \dots \phi'_n(n) \dots \phi'_N(N) \quad (6.6.6)$$

where the spin-orbitals $\{\phi_j\}$ and $\{\phi'_j\}$ appear in the first and second determinants, respectively, and the operators P and Q describe the permutations of the spin-orbitals appearing in these two determinants. The factors $(-1)^P$ and $(-1)^Q$ are the signs associated with these permutations as discussed earlier in Section 6.1.1. Any matrix element involving one- and two-electron operators

$$\langle |F + G| ' \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P,Q} (-1)^{P+Q} \quad (6.6.7)$$

$$\langle P \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \dots \phi_k(k) \dots \phi_n(n) \dots \phi_N(N) | F + G | Q \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \dots \phi'_k(k) \dots \phi'_n(n) \dots \phi'_N(N) \rangle$$

needs to be expressed in terms of integrals involving the spin-orbitals in the two determinants and the one- and two-electron operators.

To simplify the above expression, which contains $(N!)^2$ terms in its two summations, one proceeds as follows:

a. Use is made of the identity $\langle P \psi | \psi' \rangle = \langle y | P \psi' \rangle$ to move the permutation operator P to just before the $(F + G)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle P \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \dots \phi_k(k) \dots \phi_n(n) \dots \phi_N(N) | F + G | Q \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \dots \phi'_k(k) \dots \phi'_n(n) \dots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \dots \phi_k(k) \dots \phi_n(n) \dots \phi_N(N) | P(F + G) | Q \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \dots \phi'_k(k) \dots \phi'_n(n) \dots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.6.8)$$

b. Because F and G contain sums over all N electrons in a symmetric fashion, any permutation P acting on $F + G$ leaves these sums unchanged. So, P commutes with F and with G . This allows the above quantity to be rewritten as

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \dots \phi_k(k) \dots \phi_n(n) \dots \phi_N(N) | F + G | P Q \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \dots \phi'_k(k) \dots \phi'_n(n) \dots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.9)$$

c. For any permutation operator Q , the operator PQ is just another permutation operator. Moreover, for any Q , the set of all operators PQ runs over all $N!$ permutations, and the sign associated with the operator PQ is the sign belonging to P times the sign associated with Q , $(-1)^{p+q}$. So, the double sum (i.e., over P and over Q) appearing in the above expression for the general matrix element of $F + G$ contains $N!$ identical sums over the single operator PQ of the sign of this operator $(-1)^{p+q}$ multiplied by the effect of this operator on the spin-orbital product on the right-hand side

$$\langle F + G \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} N! \sum_{P,Q} (-1)^{p+q} \langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_n(n) \cdots \phi_N(N) | F + G | PQ \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_n(n) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.10)$$

By assumption, as explained earlier, the two Slater determinants have been compared and arranged in an order of maximal coincidence and the factor $(-1)^{N_p}$ needed to bring them into maximal coincidence has been determined. So, let us begin by assuming that the two determinants differ by three spin-orbitals and let us first consider the terms arising from the identity permutation $PQ = E$ (i.e., the permutation that alters none of the spin-orbitals' labels). These terms will involve integrals of the form

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_n(n) \cdots \phi_j(j) \cdots \phi_N(N) | F + G | PQ \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_n(n) \cdots \phi'_j(j) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.11)$$

where the three-spin orbitals that differ in the two determinants appear in positions k , n , and j . In these $4N$ -dimensional (3 spatial and 1 spin coordinate for each of N electrons) integrals:

a. Integrals of the form (for all $i \neq k, n$, or j)

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_n(n) \cdots \phi_j(j) \cdots \phi_N(N) | f(i) | \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_n(n) \cdots \phi'_j(j) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.12)$$

and (for all i and $l \neq k, n$, or j)

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_n(n) \cdots \phi_j(j) \cdots \phi_N(N) | g(i, l) | \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_n(n) \cdots \phi'_j(j) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.13)$$

vanish because the spin-orbitals appearing in positions k , n , and j in the two determinants are orthogonal to one another. For the F -operator, even integrals with $i = k, n$, or j vanish because there are still two spin-orbital mismatches at the other two locations among k, n , and j . For the G -operator, even integrals with i or $l = k, n$, or j vanish because two mismatches remain; and even with both i and $l = k, n$, or j , the integrals vanish because one spin-orbital mismatch remains. The main observation to make is that, even for $PQ = E$, if there are three spin-orbital differences, neither the F nor G operator gives rise to any non-vanishing results.

b. If we now consider any other permutation PQ , the situation does not improve because any permutation cannot alter the fact that three spin-orbital mismatches do not generate any non-vanishing results.

If there are only two spin-orbital mismatches (say in locations k and n), the integrals we need to evaluate are of the form

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_n(n) \cdots \phi_N(N) | f(i) | PQ \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_n(n) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.14)$$

and

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_n(n) \cdots \phi_N(N) | g(i, l) | PQ \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_n(n) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.15)$$

c. Again, beginning with $PQ = E$, we can conclude that all of the integrals involving the F -operator (i.e., $\phi(i)$, $\phi(k)$, and $\phi(n)$) vanish because the two spin-orbital mismatch is too much even for $\phi(k)$ or $\phi(n)$ to overcome; at least one spin-orbital orthogonality integral remains. For the G -operator, the only non-vanishing result arises from the $i = k$ and $l = n$ term $\langle \phi_k(k) \phi_n(n) | g(k, n) | \phi'_k(k) \phi'_n(n) \rangle$.

d. The only other permutation that generates another non-vanishing result is the permutation that interchanges k and n , and it produces $-\langle \phi_k(k) \phi_n(n) | g(k, n) | \phi'_n(k) \phi'_k(n) \rangle$

, where the negative sign arises from the $(-1)^{p+q}$ factor. All other permutations would interchange other spin-orbitals and thus generate orthogonality integrals involving other electrons' coordinates.

If there is only one spin-orbital mismatch (say in location k), the integrals we need to evaluate are of the form

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_N(N) | f(i) | PQ \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle \quad (6.6.16)$$

and

$$\langle \phi_1(1) \phi_2(2) \cdots \phi_k(k) \cdots \phi_N(N) | g(i, l) | PQ \phi'_1(1) \phi'_2(2) \cdots \phi'_k(k) \cdots \phi'_N(N) \rangle. \quad (6.6.17)$$

e. Again beginning with $PQ = E$, the only non-vanishing contribution from the F -operator is $\langle \phi_k(k) | f(k) | \phi'_k(k) \rangle$. For all other permutations, the F -operator produces no non-vanishing contributions because these permutations generate orthogonality integrals. For the G -operator and $PQ = E$, the only non-vanishing contributions are

$$\langle \phi_k(k) \phi_j(j) | g(k, j) | \phi'_k(k) \phi_j(j) \rangle \quad (6.6.18)$$

where the sum over j runs over all of the spin-orbitals that are common to both of the two determinants.

f. Among all other permutations, the only one that produces a non-vanishing result are those that permute the spin-orbital in the k th location with another spin-orbital, and they produce

$$-\langle \phi_k(k) \phi_j(j) | g(k, j) | \phi'_j(k) \phi_k(j) \rangle. \quad (6.6.19)$$

The minus sign arises from the $(-1)^{p+q}$ factor associated with this pair wise permutation operator.

Finally, if there is no mismatch (i.e., the two determinants are identical), then

g. The identity permutation generates

$$-\langle \phi_k(k) | f(k) | \phi_k(k) \rangle. \quad (6.6.20)$$

from the F -operator and

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^N \langle \phi_j(j) \phi_k(k) | g(k, j) | \phi_j(j) \phi_k(k) \rangle \quad (6.6.21)$$

from the G -operator.

h. The permutation that interchanges spin-orbitals in the k th and j th location produces

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^N \langle \phi_j(j) \phi_k(k) | g(k, j) | \phi_k(j) \phi_j(k) \rangle. \quad (6.6.22)$$

The summations over j and k appearing above can, alternatively, be written as

$$\sum_{j < k=1}^N \langle \phi_j(j) \phi_k(k) | g(k, j) | \phi_j(j) \phi_k(k) \rangle \quad (6.6.23)$$

and

$$-\sum_{j < k=1}^N \langle \phi_j(j) \phi_k(k) | g(k, j) | \phi_k(j) \phi_j(k) \rangle. \quad (6.6.24)$$

So, in summary, once maximal coincidence has been achieved, the Slater-Condon (SC) rules provide the following prescriptions for evaluating the matrix elements of any operator $F + G$ containing a one-electron part $F = \sum_i \phi(i)$ and a two-electron part $G = \sum_{i < j} g(i, j) \therefore$

i. If $|\rangle$ and $|\prime\rangle$ are identical, then

$$\langle |F + G| \rangle = \sum_i \langle \phi_i | f | \phi_i \rangle + \sum_{i,j} [\langle \phi_i \phi_j | g | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | g | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle], \quad (6.6.25)$$

where the sums over i and j run over all spin-orbitals in $|\rangle$;

ii. If $|\rangle$ and $|\prime\rangle$ differ by a single spin-orbital mismatch ($\phi_p \neq \phi'_p$),

$$\langle |F + G| \prime \rangle = (-1)^{N_p} \langle \phi_p | f | \phi'_p \rangle + \sum_j [\langle \phi_p \phi_j | g | \phi'_p \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_p \phi_j | g | \phi_j \phi'_p \rangle], \quad (6.6.26)$$

where the sum over j runs over all spin-orbitals in $|\rangle$ except ϕ_p ;

iii. If $|\rangle$ and $|\prime\rangle$ differ by two spin-orbitals ($\phi_p \neq \phi'_p$ and $\phi_q \neq \phi'_q$),

$$\langle |F + G| \prime \rangle = (-1)^{N_p} \langle \phi_p \phi_q | g | \phi'_p \phi'_q \rangle - \langle \phi_p \phi_q | g | \phi'_q \phi'_p \rangle \quad (6.6.27)$$

(note that the F contribution vanishes in this case);

iv. If $|\rangle$ and $|\prime\rangle$ differ by three or more spin orbitals, then

$$\langle |F + G| \prime \rangle = 0; \quad (6.6.28)$$

v. Φ or the identity operator I , the matrix elements $\langle |I| \prime \rangle = 0$ if $|\rangle$ and $|\prime\rangle$ differ by one or more spin-orbitals (i.e., the Slater determinants are orthonormal if their spin-orbitals are).

In these expressions,

$$\langle \phi_i | f | \phi_j \rangle \quad (6.6.29)$$

is used to denote the one-electron integral

$$\int \phi_i^*(r) f(r) \phi_j(r) dr \quad (6.6.30)$$

and

$$\langle \phi_i \phi_j | g | \phi_k \phi_l \rangle \quad (6.6.31)$$

(or, in short hand notation, $\langle ij | kl \rangle$) represents the two-electron integral

$$\int \phi_i^*(r) \phi_j^*(r') g(r, r') \phi_k(r) \phi_l(r') dr dr'. \quad (6.6.32)$$

The notation $\langle ij | kl \rangle$ introduced above gives the two-electron integrals for the $g(r, r')$ operator in the so-called Dirac notation, in which the i and k indices label the spin-orbitals that refer to the coordinates r and the j and l indices label the spin-orbitals referring to coordinates r' . The r and r' denote r, θ, ϕ, σ and $r', \theta', \phi', \sigma'$ (with σ and σ' being the α or β spin functions).

If the operators f and g do not contain any electron spin operators, then the spin integrations implicit in these integrals (all of the ϕ_i are spin-orbitals, so each ϕ is accompanied by an α or β spin function and each ϕ^* involves the adjoint of one of the α or β spin functions) can be carried out using $\langle a | a \rangle = 1$, $\langle a | b \rangle = 0$, $\langle b | a \rangle = 0$, $\langle b | b \rangle = 1$, thereby yielding integrals over spatial orbitals.

6.6: The Slater-Condon Rules is shared under a [CC BY-NC-SA 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by LibreTexts.