![LibreTexts logo]

7.2: Sizes of Atoms and Ions

> **◑ Learning Objectives**
> - To understand periodic trends in atomic radii.

Although some people fall into the trap of visualizing atoms and ions as small, hard spheres similar to miniature table-tennis balls or marbles, the quantum mechanical model tells us that their shapes and boundaries are much less definite than those images suggest. As a result, atoms and ions cannot be said to have exact sizes. In this section, we discuss how atomic and ion "sizes" are defined and obtained.

Atomic Radii

Recall that the probability of finding an electron in the various available orbitals falls off slowly as the distance from the nucleus increases. This point is illustrated in Figure 3.2.1 which shows a plot of total electron density for all occupied orbitals for three noble gases as a function of their distance from the nucleus. Electron density diminishes gradually with increasing distance, which makes it impossible to draw a sharp line marking the boundary of an atom.


Figure 3.2.1 Plots of Radial Probability as a Function of Distance from the Nucleus for He, Ne, and **Ar.** *In He, the 1s electrons have a maximum radial probability at ≈30 pm from the nucleus. In Ne, the 1s electrons have a maximum at ≈8 pm, and the 2s and 2p electrons combine to form another maximum at ≈35 pm (the n = 2 shell). In Ar, the 1s electrons have a maximum at ≈2 pm, the 2s and 2p electrons combine to form a maximum at ≈18 pm, and the 3s and 3p electrons combine to form a maximum at ≈70 pm.*

Figure 3.2.1 also shows that there are distinct peaks in the total electron density at particular distances and that these peaks occur at different distances from the nucleus for each element. Each peak in a given plot corresponds to the electron density in a given principal shell. Because helium has only one filled shell ($n = 1$), it shows only a single peak. In contrast, neon, with filled $n = 1$ and 2 principal shells, has two peaks. Argon, with filled $n = 1$, 2, and 3 principal shells, has three peaks. The peak for the filled $n = 1$ shell occurs at successively shorter distances for neon ($Z = 10$) and argon ($Z = 18$) because, with a greater number of protons, their nuclei are more positively charged than that of helium. Because the $1s^2$ shell is closest to the nucleus, its electrons are very poorly shielded by electrons in filled shells with larger values of n. Consequently, the two electrons in the $n = 1$ shell experience nearly the full nuclear charge, resulting in a strong electrostatic interaction between the electrons and the nucleus. The energy of the $n = 1$ shell also decreases tremendously (the filled 1s orbital becomes more stable) as the nuclear charge increases. For similar reasons, the filled $n = 2$ shell in argon is located closer to the nucleus and has a lower energy than the $n = 2$ shell in neon.

Figure 3.2.1 illustrates the difficulty of measuring the dimensions of an individual atom. Because distances between the nuclei in pairs of covalently bonded atoms can be measured quite precisely, however, chemists use these distances as a basis for describing the approximate sizes of atoms. For example, the internuclear distance in the diatomic Cl_2 molecule is known to be 198 pm. We assign half of this distance to each chlorine atom, giving chlorine a covalent atomic radius (r_{cov}), which is half the distance between the nuclei of two like atoms joined by a covalent bond in the same molecule, of 99 pm or 0.99 Å (part (a) in Figure 3.2.2). Atomic radii are often measured in angstroms (Å), a non-SI unit: 1 Å = 1 × 10^{-10} m = 100 pm.

Figure 3.2.2 Definitions of the Atomic Radius. *(a) The covalent atomic radius, r_{cov}, is half the distance between the nuclei of two like atoms joined by a covalent bond in the same molecule, such as Cl_2. (b) The metallic atomic radius, r_{met}, is half the distance between the nuclei of two adjacent atoms in a pure solid metal, such as aluminum. (c) The van der Waals atomic radius, r_{vdW}, is half the distance between the nuclei of two like atoms, such as argon, that are closely packed but not bonded. (d) This is a depiction of covalent versus van der Waals radii of chlorine. The covalent radius of Cl_2 is the distance between the two chlorine atoms in a single molecule of Cl_2. The van der Waals radius is the distance between chlorine nuclei in two different but touching Cl_2 molecules. Which do you think is larger? Why?*

In a similar approach, we can use the lengths of carbon–carbon single bonds in organic compounds, which are remarkably uniform at 154 pm, to assign a value of 77 pm as the covalent atomic radius for carbon. If these values do indeed reflect the actual sizes of the atoms, then we should be able to predict the lengths of covalent bonds formed between different elements by adding them. For example, we would predict a carbon–chlorine distance of 77 pm + 99 pm = 176 pm for a C–Cl bond, which is very close to the average value observed in many organochlorine compounds.A similar approach for measuring the size of ions is discussed later in this section.

Covalent atomic radii can be determined for most of the nonmetals, but how do chemists obtain atomic radii for elements that do not form covalent bonds? For these elements, a variety of other methods have been developed. With a metal, for example, the metallic atomic radius(r_{met}) is defined as half the distance between the nuclei of two adjacent metal atoms (part (b) in Figure 3.2.2). For elements such as the noble gases, most of which form no stable compounds, we can use what is called the van der Waals atomic radius (r_{vdW}), which is half the internuclear distance between two nonbonded atoms in the solid (part (c) in Figure 3.2.2). This is somewhat difficult for helium which does not form a solid at any temperature. An atom such as chlorine has both a covalent radius (the distance between the two atoms in a Cl_2 molecule) and a van der Waals radius (the distance between two Cl atoms in different molecules in, for example, $Cl_2(s)$ at low temperatures). These radii are generally not the same (part (d) in Figure 3.2.2).

Periodic Trends in Atomic Radii

Because it is impossible to measure the sizes of both metallic and nonmetallic elements using any one method, chemists have developed a self-consistent way of calculating atomic radii using the quantum mechanical functions described in Chapter 2. Although the radii values obtained by such calculations are not identical to any of the experimentally measured sets of values, they do provide a way to compare the intrinsic sizes of all the elements and clearly show that atomic size varies in a periodic fashion (Figure 3.2.3). In the periodic table, atomic radii decrease from left to right across a row and increase from top to bottom down a column. Because of these two trends, the largest atoms are found in the lower left corner of the periodic table, and the smallest are found in the upper right corner (Figure 3.2.4).


Figure 3.1.2)—a variation of Meyer's early plot.

Figure 3.2.4 Calculated Atomic Radii (in Picometers) of the *s*-, *p*-, and *d*-Block **Elements.** *The sizes of the circles illustrate the relative sizes of the atoms. The calculated values are based on quantum mechanical wave functions. Source: http://www.webelements.com. Web Elements is an excellent on line source for looking up atomic properties. Visit the site.*

Note the Pattern

Atomic radii decrease from left to right across a row and increase from top to bottom down a column.

Trends in atomic size result from differences in the **effective nuclear charges (Z_{eff})** experienced by electrons in the outermost orbitals of the elements. As we described in Chapter 2, for all elements except H, the effective nuclear charge is always *less* than the actual nuclear charge because of shielding effects. The greater the effective nuclear charge, the more strongly the outermost electrons are attracted to the nucleus and the smaller the atomic radius.

The atoms in the second row of the periodic table (Li through Ne) illustrate the effect of electron shielding. All have a filled $1s^2$ inner shell, but as we go from left to right across the row, the nuclear charge increases from +3 to +10. Although electrons are being added to the 2*s* and 2*p* orbitals, *electrons in the same principal shell are not very effective at shielding one another from the nuclear charge*. Thus the single 2*s* electron in lithium experiences an effective nuclear charge of approximately +1 because the electrons in the filled $1s^2$ shell effectively neutralize two of the three positive charges in the nucleus. (More detailed calculations give a value of $Z_{eff} = +1.26$ for Li.) In contrast, the two 2*s* electrons in beryllium do not shield each other very well, although the filled $1s^2$ shell effectively neutralizes two of the four positive charges in the nucleus. This means that the effective nuclear charge experienced by the 2*s* electrons in beryllium is between +1 and +2 (the calculated value is +1.66). Consequently, beryllium is significantly smaller than lithium. Similarly, as we proceed across the row, the increasing nuclear charge is not effectively neutralized by the electrons being added to the 2*s* and 2*p* orbitals. The result is a steady increase in the effective nuclear charge and a steady decrease in atomic size.

Calculated atomic radius (pm), *s*-, *p*-, and *d*-block elements

■ s block ■ p block ■ d block ■ f block

Figure 3.2.5 The Atomic Radius of the Elements. *The atomic radius of the elements increases as we go from right to left across a period and as we go down the periods in a group.*

The increase in atomic size going down a column is also due to electron shielding, but the situation is more complex because the principal quantum number *n* is not constant. As we saw in Chapter 2, the size of the orbitals increases as *n* increases, *provided the nuclear charge remains the same*. In group 1, for example, the size of the atoms increases substantially going down the column. It

may at first seem reasonable to attribute this effect to the successive addition of electrons to ns orbitals with increasing values of n. However, it is important to remember that the radius of an orbital depends dramatically on the nuclear charge. As we go down the column of the group 1 elements, the principal quantum number n increases from 2 to 6, but the nuclear charge increases from +3 to +55!

As a consequence the radii of the **lower electron orbitals** in Cesium are much smaller than those in lithium and the electrons in those orbitals experience a much larger force of attraction to the nucleus. That force depends on the effective nuclear charge experienced by the the inner electrons. If the outermost electrons in cesium experienced the full nuclear charge of +55, a cesium atom would be very small indeed. In fact, the effective nuclear charge felt by the outermost electrons in cesium is much less than expected (6 rather than 55). This means that cesium, with a $6s^1$ valence electron configuration, is much larger than lithium, with a $2s^1$ valence electron configuration. The effective nuclear charge changes relatively little for electrons in the outermost, or valence shell, from lithium to cesium because *electrons in filled inner shells are highly effective at shielding electrons in outer shells from the nuclear charge*. Even though cesium has a nuclear charge of +55, it has 54 electrons in its filled $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^64s^23d^{10}4p^65s^24d^{10}5p^6$ shells, abbreviated as $[Xe]5s^24d^{10}5p^6$, which effectively neutralize most of the 55 positive charges in the nucleus. The same dynamic is responsible for the steady increase in size observed as we go down the other columns of the periodic table. Irregularities can usually be explained by variations in effective nuclear charge.

Note the Pattern

Electrons in the same principal shell are not very effective at shielding one another from the nuclear charge, whereas electrons in filled inner shells are highly effective at shielding electrons in outer shells from the nuclear charge.

Example 3.2.1

On the basis of their positions in the periodic table, arrange these elements in order of increasing atomic radius: aluminum, carbon, and silicon.

Given: three elements

Asked for: arrange in order of increasing atomic radius

Strategy:

A Identify the location of the elements in the periodic table. Determine the relative sizes of elements located in the same column from their principal quantum number n. Then determine the order of elements in the same row from their effective nuclear charges. If the elements are not in the same column or row, use pairwise comparisons.

B List the elements in order of increasing atomic radius.

Solution:

A These elements are not all in the same column or row, so we must use pairwise comparisons. Carbon and silicon are both in group 14 with carbon lying above, so carbon is smaller than silicon (C < Si). Aluminum and silicon are both in the third row with aluminum lying to the left, so silicon is smaller than aluminum (Si < Al) because its effective nuclear charge is greater. **B** Combining the two inequalities gives the overall order: C < Si < Al.

Exercise

On the basis of their positions in the periodic table, arrange these elements in order of increasing size: oxygen, phosphorus, potassium, and sulfur.

Answer: O < S < P < K

Ionic Radii and Isoelectronic Series

An ion is formed when either one or more electrons are removed from a neutral atom (cations) to form a positive ion or when additional electrons attach themselves to neutral atoms (anions) to form a negative one. The designations cation or anion come from the early experiments with electricity which found that positively charged particles were attracted to the negative pole of a battery, the cathode, while negatively charged ones were attracted to the positive pole, the anode.

Ionic compounds consist of regular repeating arrays of alternating positively charged cations and negatively charges anions. Although it is not possible to measure an ionic radius directly for the same reason it is not possible to directly measure an atom's radius, it *is* possible to measure the distance between the nuclei of a cation and an adjacent anion in an ionic compound to

determine the ionic radius (the radius of a cation or anion) of one or both. As illustrated in Figure 3.2.6 , the internuclear distance corresponds to the *sum* of the radii of the cation and anion. A variety of methods have been developed to divide the experimentally measured distance proportionally between the smaller cation and larger anion. These methods produce sets of ionic radii that are internally consistent from one ionic compound to another, although each method gives slightly different values. For example, the radius of the Na^+ ion is essentially the same in NaCl and Na_2S, as long as the same method is used to measure it. Thus despite minor differences due to methodology, certain trends can be observed.


Figure 3.2.6 Definition of Ionic Radius. *(a) The internuclear distance is apportioned between adjacent cations (positively charged ions) and anions (negatively charged ions) in the ionic structure, as shown here for Na^+ and Cl^- in sodium chloride. (b) This depiction of electron density contours for a single plane of atoms in the NaCl structure shows how the lines connect points of equal electron density. Note the relative sizes of the electron density contour lines around Cl^- and Na^+.*

A comparison of ionic radii with atomic radii (Figure 3.2.7) *cation, having lost an electron, is always smaller than its parent neutral atom, and an anion, having gained an electron, is always larger than the parent neutral atom.* When one or more electrons is removed from a neutral atom, two things happen: (1) repulsions between electrons in the same principal shell decrease because fewer electrons are present, and (2) the effective nuclear charge felt by the remaining electrons increases because there are fewer electrons to shield one another from the nucleus. Consequently, the size of the region of space occupied by electrons decreases (compare Li at 167 pm with Li^+ at 76 pm). If different numbers of electrons can be removed to produce ions with different charges, the ion with the greatest positive charge is the smallest (compare Fe^{2+} at 78 pm with Fe^{3+} at 64.5 pm). Conversely, adding one or more electrons to a neutral atom causes electron–electron repulsions to increase and the effective nuclear charge to decrease, so the size of the probability region increases (compare F at 42 pm with F^- at 133 pm).

3.2.7 Ionic Radii (in Picometers) of the Most Common Ionic States of the *s*-, *p*-, and *d*-Block Elements. *Gray circles indicate the sizes of the ions shown; colored circles indicate the sizes of the neutral atoms, previously shown in Figure 3.7 . Source: Ionic radius data from R. D. Shannon, "Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides," Acta Crystallographica 32, no. 5 (1976): 751–767.*

Note the Pattern

Cations are always smaller than the neutral atom, and anions are always larger.

Because most elements form either a cation or an anion but not both, there are few opportunities to compare the sizes of a cation and an anion derived from the same neutral atom. A few compounds of sodium, however, contain the Na^- ion, allowing comparison of its size with that of the far more familiar Na^+ ion, which is found in *many* compounds. The radius of sodium in each

of its three known oxidation states is given in Table 3.2.1. All three species have a nuclear charge of +11, but they contain 10 (Na^+), 11 (Na^0), and 12 (Na^-) electrons. The Na^+ ion is significantly smaller than the neutral Na atom because the $3s^1$ electron has been removed to give a closed shell with $n = 2$. The Na^- ion is larger than the parent Na atom because the additional electron produces a $3s^2$ valence electron configuration, while the nuclear charge remains the same.

Table 3.2.1 Experimentally Measured Values for the Radius of Sodium in Its Three Known Oxidation States

	Na^+	Na^0	Na^-
Electron configuration	$1s^22s^22p^6$	$1s^22s^22p^63s^1$	$1s^22s^22p^63s^2$
Radius (pm	102	154*	202*

* The metallic radius measured for Na

Source: M.J. Wagner and J.L. Dye "Alkalides, Electrides and Expanded Metals," *Annual Review of Materials Science* **23** (1993) 225-253.

. The sizes of the ions in this series decrease smoothly from N^{3-} to Al^{3+}. All six of the ions contain 10 electrons in the $1s$, $2s$, and $2p$ orbitals, but the nuclear charge varies from +7 (N) to +13 (Al). As the positive charge of the nucleus increases while the number of electrons remains the same, there is a greater electrostatic attraction between the electrons and the nucleus, which causes a decrease in radius. Consequently, the ion with the greatest nuclear charge (Al^{3+}) is the smallest, and the ion with the smallest nuclear charge (N^{3-}) is the largest. One member of this isoelectronic series is not listed in Table 3.2.3 : the neon atom. Because neon forms no covalent or ionic compounds, its radius is difficult to measure.

N3- 146   O2- 140   F- 133   Na+ 98   Mg2+ 79   Al3+ 57
N   71   O   66   F 71   Na 186   Mg 160   Al 143

Ion	Radius (pm)	Atomic Number
N^{3-}	146	7
O^{2-}	140	8
F^-	133	9
Na^+	98	11
Mg^{2+}	79	12
Al^{3+}	57	13

Table 3.2.3 Radius of Ions with the Neon Closed-Shell Electron Configuration. Source: R. D. Shannon, "Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides," *Acta Crystallographica* 32, no. 5 (1976): 751–767.

Example 3.2.2
Based on their positions in the periodic table, arrange these ions in order of increasing radius: Cl^-, K^+, S^{2-}, and Se^{2-}.

Given: four ions

Asked for: order by increasing radius

Strategy:

A Determine which ions form an isoelectronic series. Of those ions, predict their relative sizes based on their nuclear charges. For ions that do not form an isoelectronic series, locate their positions in the periodic table.

B Determine the relative sizes of the ions based on their principal quantum numbers n and their locations within a row.

Solution:

A We see that S and Cl are at the right of the third row, while K and Se are at the far left and right ends of the fourth row, respectively. K^+, Cl^-, and S^{2-} form an isoelectronic series with the [Ar] closed-shell electron configuration; that is, all three ions contain 18 electrons but have different nuclear charges. Because K^+ has the greatest nuclear charge ($Z = 19$), its radius is smallest, and S^{2-} with $Z = 16$ has the largest radius. Because selenium is directly below sulfur, we expect the Se^{2-} ion to be even larger than S^{2-}. **B** The order must therefore be $K^+ < Cl^- < S^{2-} < Se^{2-}$.

Exercise

https://chem.libretexts.org/@go/page/349431

Based on their positions in the periodic table, arrange these ions in order of increasing size: Br^-, Ca^{2+}, Rb^+, and Sr^{2+}.

Answer: $Ca^{2+} < Sr^{2+} < Rb^+ < Br^-$

Summary

A variety of methods have been established to measure the size of a single atom or ion. The **covalent atomic radius** (r_{cov}) is half the internuclear distance in a molecule with two identical atoms bonded to each other, whereas the **metallic atomic radius** (r_{met}) is defined as half the distance between the nuclei of two adjacent atoms in a metallic element. The **van der Waals radius** (r_{vdW}) of an element is half the internuclear distance between two nonbonded atoms in a solid. Atomic radii decrease from left to right across a row because of the increase in effective nuclear charge due to poor electron screening by other electrons in the same principal shell. Moreover, atomic radii increase from top to bottom down a column because the effective nuclear charge remains relatively constant as the principal quantum number increases. The **ionic radii** of cations and anions are always smaller or larger, respectively, than the parent atom due to changes in electron–electron repulsions, and the trends in ionic radius parallel those in atomic size. A comparison of the dimensions of atoms or ions that have the same number of electrons but different nuclear charges, called an **isoelectronic series**, shows a clear correlation between increasing nuclear charge and decreasing size.

Key Takeaway

- Ionic radii share the same vertical trend as atomic radii, but the horizontal trends differ due to differences in ionic charges.

Conceptual Problems

1. The electrons of the 1s shell have a stronger electrostatic attraction to the nucleus than electrons in the 2s shell. Give two reasons for this.
2. Predict whether Na or Cl has the more stable $1s^2$ shell and explain your rationale.
3. Arrange K, F, Ba, Pb, B, and I in order of decreasing atomic radius.
4. Arrange Ag, Pt, Mg, C, Cu, and Si in order of increasing atomic radius.
5. Using the periodic table, arrange Li, Ga, Ba, Cl, and Ni in order of increasing atomic radius.
6. Element M is a metal that forms compounds of the type MX_2, MX_3, and MX_4, where X is a halogen. What is the expected trend in the ionic radius of M in these compounds? Arrange these compounds in order of decreasing ionic radius of M.
7. The atomic radii of Na and Cl are 190 and 79 pm, respectively, but the distance between sodium and chlorine in NaCl is 282 pm. Explain this discrepancy.
8. Are shielding effects on the atomic radius more pronounced across a row or down a group? Why?
9. What two factors influence the size of an ion relative to the size of its parent atom? Would you expect the ionic radius of S^{2-} to be the same in both MgS and Na_2S? Why or why not?
10. Arrange Br^-, Al^{3+}, Sr^{2+}, F^-, O^{2-}, and I^- in order of increasing ionic radius.
11. Arrange P^{3-}, N^{3-}, Cl^-, In^{3+}, and S^{2-} in order of decreasing ionic radius.
12. How is an isoelectronic series different from a series of ions with the same charge? Do the cations in magnesium, strontium, and potassium sulfate form an isoelectronic series? Why or why not?
13. What isoelectronic series arises from fluorine, nitrogen, magnesium, and carbon? Arrange the ions in this series by
    1. increasing nuclear charge.
    2. increasing size.
14. What would be the charge and electron configuration of an ion formed from calcium that is isoelectronic with
    1. a chloride ion?
    2. Ar^+?

Answers

1. The 1s shell is closer to the nucleus and therefore experiences a greater electrostatic attraction. In addition, the electrons in the 2s subshell are shielded by the filled $1s^2$ shell, which further decreases the electrostatic attraction to the nucleus.
2.
3. Ba > K > Pb > I > B > F
4.
5.
6.
7. The sum of the calculated atomic radii of sodium and chlorine *atoms* is 253 pm. The sodium cation is significantly smaller than a neutral sodium atom (102 versus 154 pm), due to the loss of the single electron in the 3s orbital. Conversely, the chloride ion is much larger than a neutral chlorine atom (181 versus 99 pm), because the added electron results in greatly increased electron–

electron repulsions within the filled $n = 3$ principal shell. Thus, transferring an electron from sodium to chlorine decreases the radius of sodium by about 50%, but causes the radius of chlorine to almost double. The net effect is that the distance between a sodium ion and a chloride ion in NaCl is *greater* than the sum of the atomic radii of the neutral atoms.

8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.

Numerical Problems

1. Plot the ionic charge versus ionic radius using the following data for Mo: Mo^{3+}, 69 pm; Mo^{4+}, 65 pm; and Mo^{5+}, 61 pm. Then use this plot to predict the ionic radius of Mo^{6+}. Is the observed trend consistent with the general trends discussed in the chapter? Why or why not?

2. Internuclear distances for selected ionic compounds are given in the following table.

   1. If the ionic radius of Li^+ is 76 pm, what is the ionic radius of each of the anions?

	LiF	LiCl	LiBr	LiI
Distance (pm)	209	257	272	296

   2. What is the ionic radius of Na^+?

	NaF	NaCl	NaBr	NaI
Distance (pm)	235	282	298	322

3. Arrange the gaseous species Mg^{2+}, P^{3-}, Br^-, S^{2-}, F^-, and N^{3-} in order of increasing radius and justify your decisions.

Contributors

- Anonymous

Modified by Joshua Halpern