

5.3.2.3: Ionic Compounds and Molecular Orbitals

Ionic interactions lie at one extreme on a spectrum of bonding. On the opposite end of the spectrum are the non-polar covalent bonds (e.g., homonuclear diatomics). In these molecules, molecular orbitals are formed by equal-energy atomic orbitals, resulting in electron density evenly distributed over the molecule. In the middle of the spectrum are the cases of polar covalent bonds (e.g., heteronuclear diatomics), in which atomic orbitals of unequal energies contribute unequally to molecular orbitals, resulting in uneven distribution of electron density across the molecule. In the case of polar bonds, the electron density is shifted toward the more electronegative atom since that atom contributes more to the lowest energy bonding molecular orbitals. Molecular orbital diagrams can be drawn for ionic compounds as if they are extremely polar bonds in which electrons are not only shifted toward, but are transferred completely to the more electronegative atom.

Example: NaCl

In NaCl, the sodium $3s$ orbital (-5.2 eV) is significantly higher in energy than the chlorine valence orbitals. The chlorine $3s$ and $3p_z$ orbitals have compatible symmetry, yet only the $3p_z$ orbital (-13.8 eV) is close enough in energy to interact with the Na $3s$; still, the energy difference is large enough to make bonding weak. The Na $3s$ orbital combines with Cl $3p_z$ to form the molecular orbitals labeled 4σ and $4\sigma^*$ in Figure 5.3.2.3.1. The 4σ orbital is weakly bonding, but is very close in energy to the Cl $3p_z$ orbital, and is mostly Cl-like in character. Notice that all σ orbitals look very much like either s or p orbitals centered on the Cl atom, while the $4\sigma^*$ orbital is centered almost entirely on Na. The lack of molecular orbitals that are distributed over both atoms at once is consistent with a lack of significant covalent bond character in NaCl. The bonding here is characterized by transfer of one electron from Na to Cl and is almost entirely electrostatic. Bonding that is mostly electrostatic in character is non-directional, unlike true covalent bonding.




Figure 5.3.2.3.1: The molecular orbital diagram for sodium chloride. Molecular orbital surfaces calculated using Spartan software indicate almost no covalent nature of bonding. (CC-BY-NC-SA, Kathryn Haas)

? Exercise 5.3.2.3.1

Draw the molecular orbital diagram for LiF. Make sure to label all molecular orbitals appropriately, and specify whether they are mostly bonding, non-bonding, or antibonding. Identify the HOMO and LUMO. Sketch the approximate shapes of all orbitals.

Answer

We expect LiF to be an ionic compound because the energy difference in valence orbitals is at least 10-14 eV. (See Table 5.3.1) There are a variety of ways used to label molecular orbitals. In the figure below, we are using the convention of labeling each type of orbital with numbers starting from the lowest-energy orbitals. The 1σ orbital would be mostly F $1s$ in character and is not shown. The 2σ orbital is mostly non-bonding in nature, although it has a very small contribution from $2s$ of Li due to compatible symmetry. The 3σ orbital is slightly bonding but it is mostly F $2p$ in character. The two 1π orbitals are completely non-bonding. The $3\sigma^*$ orbital is antibonding.

- HOMO is 1π
- LUMO is $3\sigma^*$




Figure for Exercise 5.3.2.3.1: Molecular orbital diagram for the ionic compound, LiF. (CC-BY-NC-SA, Kathryn Haas)

This page titled [5.3.2.3: Ionic Compounds and Molecular Orbitals](#) is shared under a [CC BY-SA 4.0](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Kathryn Haas](#).

- [5.3.3: Ionic Compounds and Molecular Orbitals](#) by [Kathryn Haas](#) is licensed [CC BY-SA 4.0](#).