

Calculate α and β from the values of c and d :

$$\text{Given } c = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \quad d = \frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{2} \quad \text{Find}(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1.4364 \\ 2.2088 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fill in the table and answer the questions below:

Ψ	H	He	Li	Be
α	0.4925	1.4364	2.3616	3.2932
β	1.0744	2.2088	3.2996	4.3745
b	0.3326	0.2934	0.2769	0.2687
E_{atom}	-0.5255	2.9014	-7.227	-13.6525
$E_{atom}(\text{exp})$	-0.5277	-2.9037	-7.2838	-13.6640
%Error	0.4090	0.0792	.0909	0.0838

Explain the importance of the parameter b . Why does its magnitude decrease as the nuclear charge increases?

The parameter b adds weight to the r_{12} term which most directly represents electron correlation in the wavefunction. As the nuclear charge increases, as we have previously seen, V_{ee} becomes less important as a percentage of the total energy. Thus, the impact of the electron correlation term becomes less significant.

Fill in the table below and explain why this trial wave function gives better results than the previous trial wave function.

$$E(b, c, d) = -2.9014 \quad T(b, c, d) = 2.9017 \quad VN(b, c, d) = -6.7524 \quad VE(b, c, d) = 0.9492$$

WF5	E	T	V_{ne}	V_{ee}
H	-0.5275	0.5275	-1.3738	0.3208
He	-2.9017	2.9017	-6.7524	0.9492
Li	-7.2772	7.2772	-16.1265	1.5721
Be	-13.6525	13.6525	-29.5011	2.1960

Demonstrate that the virial theorem is satisfied for the helium atom:

$$E(b, c, d) = -2.9014 \quad T(b, c, d) = 2.9017 \quad \frac{VN(b, c, d) + VE(b, c, d)}{2} = -2.9016$$

Add the results for this wave function to your summary table for all wave functions.

H	E	T	V_{ne}	V_{ee}	He	E	T	V_{ne}	V_{ee}
WF1	-0.4727	0.4727	-1.375	0.4297	WF1	-2.8477	2.8477	-6.7500	1.0547
WF2	-0.4870	0.4870	-1.3705	0.3965	WF2	-2.8603	2.8603	-6.7488	1.0281
WF3	-0.5133	0.5133	-1.3225	0.2958	WF3	-2.8757	2.8757	-6.7565	0.9743
WF4	-0.5088	0.5088	-1.3907	0.3731	WF4	-2.8911	2.8911	-6.7565	0.9743
WF5	-0.5275	0.5275	-1.3738	0.3208	WF5	-2.9017	2.9017	-6.7424	0.9492
Li	E	T	V_{ne}	V_{ee}	Be	E	T	V_{ne}	V_{ee}
WF1	-7.2227	7.2227	-16.1250	1.6797	WF1	-13.5977	13.5977	-29.5000	2.3047
WF2	-7.2350	7.2350	-16.1243	1.6544	WF2	-13.6098	13.6098	-29.4995	2.2799
WF3	-7.2487	7.2487	-16.1217	1.6242	WF3	-13.6230	13.6230	-29.4978	2.2519
WF4	-7.2682	7.2682	-16.1288	1.5924	WF4	-13.6441	13.6441	-29.5025	2.2144
WF5	-7.2227	7.2227	-16.1265	1.5721	WF5	-13.6526	13.6525	-29.5011	2.1960

Except for a hiccup in the hydrogen anion results for WF4, these tables show that the improved agreement with experimental results (the lower total energy), is due to a reduction in electron-electron repulsion through the use of trial wavefunctions that improve electron correlation.

This page titled [2.43: Fifth Trial Wavefunction and Summary](#) is shared under a [CC BY 4.0](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Frank Rioux](#) via [source content](#) that was edited to the style and standards of the LibreTexts platform.