

12.2: Two-State System

Consider a system in which the time-independent Hamiltonian possesses two eigenstates, denoted

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 \psi_1 &= E_1 \psi_1, \\ H_0 \psi_2 &= E_2 \psi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, for the sake of simplicity, that the diagonal elements of the interaction Hamiltonian, H_1 , are zero: that is,

$$\langle 1|H_1|1\rangle = \langle 2|H_1|2\rangle = 0. \quad (12.2.1)$$

The off-diagonal elements are assumed to oscillate sinusoidally at some frequency ω : that is,

$$\langle 1|H_1|2\rangle = \langle 2|H_1|1\rangle^* = \gamma \hbar \exp(i\omega t), \quad (12.2.2)$$

where γ and ω are real. Note that it is only the off-diagonal matrix elements which give rise to the effect which we are interested in: namely, transitions between states 1 and 2.

For a two-state system, Equation ([e13.12]) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{dc_1}{dt} &= \gamma \exp[i(\omega - \omega_{21})t] c_2, \\ i \frac{dc_2}{dt} &= \gamma \exp[-i(\omega - \omega_{21})t] c_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{21} = (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$. The previous two equations can be combined to give a second-order differential equation for the time-variation of the amplitude c_2 : that is,

$$\frac{d^2 c_2}{dt^2} + i(\omega - \omega_{21}) \frac{dc_2}{dt} + \gamma^2 c_2 = 0. \quad (12.2.3)$$

Once we have solved for c_2 , we can use Equation ([e13.20]) to obtain the amplitude c_1 . Let us search for a solution in which the system is certain to be in state 1 (and, thus, has no chance of being in state 2) at time $t = 0$. Thus, our initial conditions are $c_1(0) = 1$ and $c_2(0) = 0$. It is easily demonstrated that the appropriate solutions to ([e13.21]) and ([e13.20]) are

$$c_2(t) = \left(\frac{-i\gamma}{\Omega} \right) \exp\left[\frac{-i(\omega - \omega_{21})t}{2} \right] \sin(\Omega t) \quad (12.2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(t) = & \exp\left[\frac{i(\omega - \omega_{21})t}{2} \right] \cos(\Omega t) \\ & - \left[\frac{i(\omega - \omega_{21})}{2\Omega} \right] \exp\left[\frac{i(\omega - \omega_{21})t}{2} \right] \sin(\Omega t) \end{aligned} \quad (12.2.5)$$

where

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\gamma^2 + (\omega - \omega_{21})^2/4} \quad (12.2.6)$$

Now, the probability of finding the system in state 1 at time t is simply $P_1(t) = |c_1(t)|^2$. Likewise, the probability of finding the system in state 2 at time t is $P_2(t) = |c_2(t)|^2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(t) &= 1 - P_2(t), \\ P_2(t) &= \left[\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 + (\omega - \omega_{21})^2/4} \right] \sin^2(\Omega t). \end{aligned}$$

This result is known as *Rabi's formula*.

Equation ([e13.25]) exhibits all the features of a classic resonance. At resonance, when the oscillation frequency of the perturbation, ω , matches the frequency ω_{21} , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(t) &= \cos^2(\gamma t), \\ P_2(t) &= \sin^2(\gamma t). \end{aligned}$$

According to the previous result, the system starts off in state 1 at $t = 0$. After a time interval $\pi/(2\gamma)$ it is certain to be in state 2. After a further time interval $\pi/(2\gamma)$ it is certain to be in state 1 again, and so on. Thus, the system periodically flip-flops between states 1 and 2 under the influence of the time-dependent perturbation. This implies that the system alternatively absorbs and emits energy from the source of the perturbation.

The absorption-emission cycle also takes place away from the resonance, when $\omega \neq \omega_{21}$. However, the amplitude of the oscillation in the coefficient c_2 is reduced. This means that the maximum value of $P_2(t)$ is no longer unity, nor is the minimum of $P_1(t)$ zero. In fact, if we plot the maximum value of $P_2(t)$ as a function of the applied frequency, ω , then we obtain a resonance curve whose maximum (unity) lies at the resonance, and whose full-width half-maximum (in frequency) is 4γ . Thus, if the applied frequency differs from the resonant frequency by substantially more than 2γ then the probability of the system jumping from state 1 to state 2 is always very small. In other words, the time-dependent perturbation is only effective at causing transitions between states 1 and 2 if its frequency of oscillation lies in the approximate range $\omega_{21} \pm 2\gamma$. Clearly, the weaker the perturbation (i.e., the smaller γ becomes), the narrower the resonance.

Contributors and Attributions

- [Richard Fitzpatrick](#) (Professor of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin)

This page titled [12.2: Two-State System](#) is shared under a [not declared](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Richard Fitzpatrick](#).