

6.7: Checking that We Can Eliminate the q 's

We should check that we *can* in fact write

$$H(p_i, q_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \dot{q}_i - L(q_i, \dot{q}_i) \quad (6.7.1)$$

as a function of just the variables (q_i, p_i) , with all trace of the \dot{q}_i 's eliminated. Is this always possible? The answer is yes.

Recall the \dot{q}_i 's only appear in the Lagrangian in the kinetic energy term, which has the general form

$$T = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(q_k) \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j \quad (6.7.2)$$

where the coefficients a_{ij} depend in general on some of the q_k 's but are independent of the velocities, the \dot{q}_k 's. Therefore, from the definition of the generalized momenta,

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(q_k) \dot{q}_j \quad (6.7.3)$$

and we can write this as a vector-matrix equation,

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{A} \dot{\mathbf{q}} \quad (6.7.4)$$

That is, p_i is a linear function of the \dot{q}_j 's. Hence, the inverse matrix \mathbf{A}^{-1} will give us \dot{q}_i as a linear function of the p_j 's, and then putting this expression for the \dot{q}_i into the Lagrangian gives the Hamiltonian as a function only of the q 's and the p 's, that is, the phase space variables.

The matrix \mathbf{A} is always invertible because the kinetic energy is positive definite (as is obvious from its Cartesian representation) and a symmetric positive definite matrix has only positive eigenvalues, and therefore is invertible.

This page titled [6.7: Checking that We Can Eliminate the \$q\$'s](#) is shared under a [not declared](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Michael Fowler](#).