

## 8.7: How Can $p$ , $q$ Really Be Independent Variables?

---

It may seem a little odd at first that varying  $p, q$  as independent variables leads to the same equations as the Lagrangian minimization, where we only varied  $q$ , and that variation “locked in” the variation of  $[Math Processing Error]$ . And, isn’t  $p$  *defined* in terms of  $[Math Processing Error]$  which is some function of  $[Math Processing Error]$ ? So wouldn’t varying  $q$  automatically determine the variation of  $p$ ?

The answer is, no,  $p$  is *not* defined as  $[Math Processing Error]$  from the start in Hamilton’s formulation. In this Hamiltonian approach,  $p, q$  really are taken as independent variables, then varying them to find the minimum path gives the equations of motion, *including the relation between  $p$  and  $[Math Processing Error]$*

This comes about as follows: Along the minimum action path, we just established that

$[Math Processing Error]$

We also have that  $[Math Processing Error]$  so (Legendre transformation!)

$[Math Processing Error]$

from which, along the physical path,  $[Math Processing Error]$ . So this identity, previously written as the *definition* of  $p$ , now arises as a consequence of the action minimization in phase space.

---

This page titled 8.7: How Can  $p$ ,  $q$  Really Be Independent Variables? is shared under a [not declared](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Michael Fowler](#).