

1.7: The Distance-Redshift Relation

For a given scale factor history, $a(t)$, one can work out a relationship between luminosity distance and redshift. This will be useful to us because it indicates how we can *infer* $a(t)$ from measurements of luminosity distance and redshift, over a range of redshifts.

Recall that for light world lines (paths through spacetime), $ds^2 = 0$. For a radial trajectory (one with $d\phi = d\theta = 0$) we thus have $c^2 dt^2 = a^2(t) dr^2 / (1 - kr^2)$. Taking the square root, and choosing the sign so that the photon is headed toward the origin ($dr/dt < 0$) we have:

$$cdt = -\frac{a(t)dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} \quad (1.7.1)$$

Assuming $a(t)$ we could do the integrals on both sides and find out how long it takes for the light to go from $r = d$ to the observer at the origin. But that time interval is not something we can measure, so we'd have a prediction following from the assumed $a(t)$ but no way to confirm it (at least not from what we've developed so far in our exposition here.) What we *can* measure is redshift, which as we've seen depends on the scale factor at the time of emission, so instead we swap out the dt for da and integrate over da . Since $dt = da/(da/dt)$ we get:

$$\frac{cda}{a\dot{a}} = -\frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} \quad (1.7.2)$$

or

$$c \int_{a_e}^1 \frac{da}{a\dot{a}} = - \int_d^0 \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} = \int_0^d \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} \quad (1.7.3)$$

It is conventional, and we will later find it convenient, to define the Hubble parameter $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$. This is a generalization of the Hubble constant, $H_0 = H(t_0)$ where t_0 is the time today. With this definition we can write:

$$c \int_{a_e}^1 \frac{da}{a^2 H} = \int_0^d \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} \quad (1.7.4)$$

Let's work out the consequences of the above in a simple case valid for short travel times and a Euclidean geometry. Putting it more precisely, let's assume $k = 0$ and take $a(t)$ as given by its first order Taylor expansion about the current epoch so that:

$$a(t) = 1 + (t - t_0)\dot{a}|_{t_0} \quad (1.7.5)$$

where for the last term we've indicated it's to be evaluated at time $t = t_0$ (consistent with our assumption of a Taylor expansion). Note that truncating this Taylor expansion to first order means that $da/dt = \dot{a}|_{t_0}$ is a constant. Since the scale factor is unity today (by convention) we also have $\dot{a} = H_0$ and $H \equiv \dot{a}/a = H_0/a$.

Box 1.7.1

Exercise 7.1.1: Plugging $H = H_0/a$ into Equation 1.7.4 one can now do the integral on the left-hand side. The right-hand side could not be easier (since we are assuming $k = 0$). Check that you find:

$$\frac{c}{H_0} [\ln(1) - \ln(a_e)] = d$$

Answer

$$c \int_{a_e}^1 \frac{da}{a^2 H} = \int_0^d dr = d$$

$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ and $\dot{a} = \text{constant}$, so we have $H = \frac{H_0}{a}$. Now,

$$d = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_{a_e}^1 \frac{da}{a} = \frac{c}{H_0} [\ln(1) - \ln(a_e)]$$

Box 1.7.2

Exercise 7.2.1: Relate a_e to the redshift z , and take advantage of $\ln(1+x) = x$ to first order in x to derive $cz = H_0 d$ to first order in z . How is d here related to luminosity distance? Simplify your result, again assuming $z \ll 1$. You should find $cz = H_0 d_{\text{lum}}$. Finally, if z is replaced with $z = v/c$ we get Hubble's Law.

Answer

$$\frac{c}{H_0} \left[-\ln(a_e) \right] = d$$

$$d = \frac{c}{H_0} \ln \left(\frac{1}{a_e} \right)$$

$$d = \frac{c}{H_0} \ln(1+z)$$

$$d \approx \frac{c}{H_0} z$$

Now multiply both sides by $(1+z)$,

$$d \times (1+z) = \frac{c}{H_0} z(1+z)$$

$$d_{\text{lum}} = \frac{c}{H_0} z(1+z)$$

Since $z \ll 1$ we can simplify our result to $cz = H_0 d_{\text{lum}}$.

Summary

1. The Hubble parameter is $H \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$. What we call "the Hubble constant", H_0 is the Hubble parameter evaluated today, $H_0 = H(t_0)$.
2. Luminosity distance and redshift are two things we can measure. The relationship depends on $a(t)$ and the curvature k . In principle, if we measure distances and redshifts for objects at a variety of distances we could then infer $a(t)$ and k . The general relationship between redshift and luminosity distance is contained in these equations:

$$c \int_{a_e}^1 \frac{da}{a^2 H} = \int_0^d \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} \quad (1.7.6)$$

and

$$d_{\text{lum}} = d(1+z) \quad (1.7.7)$$

with $1+z = 1/a_e$.

- 3) For small redshifts, the above reduces to $cz = H_0 d$ for $k = 0$, (and for non-zero k : $cz = H_0 \int_0^d \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}}$). If one sets $v = cz$ (which makes sense for a Newtonian interpretation of the redshift), then we arrive at Hubble's Law $v = H_0 d$.

HOMEWORK Problems

Problem 1.7.1

Assume the Hubble parameter varies with scale factor as $H = H_0 a^{-3/2}$ and that $k = 0$. As we will see in subsequent chapters this is what one gets (when $k = 0$) for a universe filled with non-relativistic matter and nothing else. Note that we are using our convention that the scale factor today is unity; i.e., $a(t_0) = 1$ (and further note that we will not continue to give this reminder). Show that the luminosity distance is related to redshift via:

$$d_{\text{lum}} = \frac{2c}{H_0} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+z}} \right] \times (1+z)$$

Problem 1.7.2

Show that to first order in z the above relationship reduces to $cz = H_0 d_{\text{lum}}$; i.e., Hubble's Law.

Problem 1.7.3

Assume the Hubble parameter varies with scale factor as $H = H_0 a^{-1}$ and that $k < 0$. As we will see in subsequent chapters this is what one gets for a universe filled with nothing. Show that

$$d_{\text{lum}} = \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{|k|}} \sinh \left[\sqrt{|k|} \frac{c}{H_0} \ln(1+z) \right].$$

Problem 1.7.4

Use appropriate Taylor expansions to show, once again, that to first order in z the result in 1.7.3 reduces to $cz = H_0 d_{\text{lum}}$.

Problem 1.7.5

Make a qualitative sketch, on the same graph, of d_{lum} vs. z for the universe model in problem 1.7.1 and for the universe model in problem 1.7.3. Assume the same value of H_0 for each. At low z the two curves should be coincident. I just want to see, from your drawings, which one starts to have d_{lum} grow more rapidly with z once z gets big enough that the Taylor series approximations break down. It would be sufficient to look at behavior as $z \rightarrow \infty$. To do so, you will want to use $\sinh(x) = (e^x - e^{-x})/2 \rightarrow e^x/2$ for large x . Be sure to label your curves.

This page titled [1.7: The Distance-Redshift Relation](#) is shared under a [CC BY 4.0](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Lloyd Knox](#).

- [Current page](#) by [Lloyd Knox](#) is licensed [CC BY 4.0](#).
- [2.8: S08. The Distance-Redshift Relation - SOLUTIONS](#) by [Lloyd Knox](#) is licensed [CC BY 4.0](#).