

## 16.20: Chains Subordinate to the Poisson Process

### Basic Theory

#### Introduction

Recall that the standard Poisson process with rate parameter  $r \in (0, \infty)$  involves three interrelated stochastic processes. First the sequence of *interarrival times*  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots)$  is independent, and each variable has the exponential distribution with parameter  $r$ . Next, the sequence of *arrival times*  $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots)$  is the partial sum sequence associated with the interarrival sequence  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$\tau_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (16.20.1)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , the arrival time  $\tau_n$  has the gamma distribution with parameters  $n$  and  $r$ . Finally, the Poisson counting process  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is defined by

$$N_t = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \tau_n \leq t\}, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \quad (16.20.2)$$

so that  $N_t$  is the number of arrivals in  $(0, t]$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . The counting variable  $N_t$  has the Poisson distribution with parameter  $rt$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . The counting process  $\mathbf{N}$  and the arrival time process  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  are inverses in the sense that  $\tau_n \leq t$  if and only if  $N_t \geq n$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The Poisson counting process can be viewed as a continuous-time Markov chain.

Suppose that  $X_0$  takes values in  $\mathbb{N}$  and is independent of  $\mathbf{N}$ . Define  $X_t = X_0 + N_t$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $\mathbb{N}$  with exponential parameter function given by  $\lambda(x) = r$  for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  and jump transition matrix  $Q$  given by  $Q(x, x+1) = 1$  for  $x \in S$ .

**Proof**

This follows directly from the basic structure of a continuous-time Markov chain. Given  $X_t = x$ , the holding time in state  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  is exponential with parameter  $r$ , and the next state is deterministically  $x+1$ . Note that the addition of the variable  $X_0$  is just to allow us the freedom of arbitrary initial distributions on the state space, as is routine with Markov processes.

Note that the Poisson process, viewed as a Markov chain is a pure birth chain. Clearly we can generalize this continuous-time Markov chain in a simple way by allowing a general embedded jump chain.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a Markov chain with (countable) state space  $S$ , and with constant exponential parameter  $\lambda(x) = r \in (0, \infty)$  for  $x \in S$ , and jump transition matrix  $Q$ . Then  $\mathbf{X}$  is said to be *subordinate* to the Poisson process with rate parameter  $r$ .

1. The transition times  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots)$  are the arrival times of the Poisson process with rate  $r$ .
2. The inter-transition times  $(\tau_1, \tau_2 - \tau_1, \dots)$  are the inter-arrival times of the Poisson process with rate  $r$  (independent, and each with the exponential distribution with rate  $r$ ).
3.  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is the Poisson counting process, where  $N_t$  is the number of transitions in  $(0, t]$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .
4. The Poisson process and the jump chain  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots)$  are independent, and  $X_t = Y_{N_t}$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Proof**

These results all follow from the basic structure of a continuous-time Markov chain.

Since all states are stable, note that we must have  $Q(x, x) = 0$  for  $x \in S$ . Note also that for  $x, y \in S$  with  $x \neq y$ , the exponential rate parameter for the transition from  $x$  to  $y$  is  $\mu(x, y) = rQ(x, y)$ . Conversely suppose that  $\mu : S^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  satisfies  $\mu(x, x) = 0$  and  $\sum_{y \in S} \mu(x, y) = r$  for every  $x \in S$ . Then the Markov chain with transition rates given by  $\mu$  is subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r$ . It's easy to construct a Markov chain subordinate to the Poisson process.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a Poisson counting process with rate  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and that  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a discrete-time Markov chain on  $S$ , independent of  $\mathbf{N}$ , whose transition matrix satisfies  $Q(x, x) = 0$  for every  $x \in S$ . Let  $X_t = Y_{N_t}$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain subordinate to the Poisson process.

## Generator and Transition Matrices

Next let's find the generator matrix and the transition semigroup. Suppose again that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $S$  subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and with jump transition matrix  $Q$ . As usual, let  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  denote the transition semigroup and  $G$  the infinitesimal generator.

The generator matrix  $G$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $G = r(Q - I)$ . Hence for  $t \in [0, \infty)$

1. The Kolmogorov backward equation is  $P'_t = r(Q - I)P_t$
2. The Kolmogorov forward equation is  $P'_t = rP_t(Q - I)$

Proof

This follows directly from the general theory since  $G(x, x) = -\lambda(x) = -r$  for  $x \in S$  and  $G(x, y) = \lambda(x)Q(x, y) = rQ(x, y)$  for distinct  $x, y \in S$ .

There are several ways to find the transition semigroup  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ . The best way is a probabilistic argument using the underlying Poisson process.

For  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , the transition matrix  $P_t$  is given by

$$P_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \frac{(rt)^n}{n!} Q^n \quad (16.20.3)$$

Proof from the underlying Poisson process

Let  $N_t$  denote the number of transitions in  $(0, t]$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , so that  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is the Poisson counting process. Let  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots)$  denote the jump chain, with transition matrix  $Q$ . Then  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are independent, and  $X_t = Y_{N_t}$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Conditioning we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(x, y) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = y \mid X_0 = x) = \mathbb{P}(Y_{N_t} = y \mid Y_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_{N_t} = y \mid N_t = n, Y_0 = y) \mathbb{P}(N_t = n \mid Y_0 = y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_n = y \mid Y_0 = x) \mathbb{P}(N_t = n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \frac{(rt)^n}{n!} Q^n(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Proof using the generator matrix

Note first that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$G^n = [r(Q - I)]^n = r^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} Q^k \quad (16.20.4)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= e^{tG} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} G^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} r^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} Q^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(rt)^n}{k!(n-k)!} (-1)^{n-k} Q^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(rt)^n}{k!(n-k)!} (-1)^{n-k} Q^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(rt)^k}{k!} Q^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} (-rt)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \frac{(rt)^k}{k!} Q^k \end{aligned}$$

## Potential Matrices

Next let's find the potential matrices. As with the transition matrices, we can do this in (at least) two different ways.

Suppose again that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $S$  subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and with jump transition matrix  $Q$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , the potential matrix  $U_\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is

$$U_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{\alpha + r} \right)^n Q^n \quad (16.20.5)$$

Proof from the definition

Using the previous result,

$$\begin{aligned} U_\alpha(x, y) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} P_t(x, y) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \frac{(rt)^n}{n!} Q^n(x, y) dt \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n(x, y) \frac{r^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-(r+\alpha)t} t^n dt \end{aligned}$$

The interchange of sum and integral is justified since the terms are nonnegative. Using the change of variables  $s = (r + \alpha)t$  gives

$$U_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{\alpha + r} \right)^n \frac{1}{n!} Q^n(x, y) \int_0^\infty e^{-st} s^n ds \quad (16.20.6)$$

The last integral is  $n!$ .

Proof using the generator

From the result above,

$$\alpha I - G = \alpha I - r(Q - I) = (\alpha + r)I - rQ = (\alpha + r) \left( I - \frac{r}{\alpha + r} Q \right) \quad (16.20.7)$$

Since  $\left\| \frac{r}{\alpha + r} Q \right\| = \frac{r}{\alpha + r} < 1$  we have

$$(\alpha I - G)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} \left( I - \frac{r}{\alpha + r} Q \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{\alpha + r} \right)^n Q^n \quad (16.20.8)$$

Recall that for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the  $p$ -potential matrix of the jump chain  $\mathbf{Y}$  is  $R_p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n Q^n$ . Hence we have the following nice relationship between the potential matrix of  $\mathbf{X}$  and the potential matrix of  $\mathbf{Y}$ :

$$U_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} R_{r/(\alpha + r)} \quad (16.20.9)$$

Next recall that  $\alpha U_\alpha(x, \cdot)$  is the probability density function of  $X_T$  given  $X_0 = x$ , where  $T$  has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\alpha$  and is independent of  $\mathbf{X}$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha U_\alpha(x, \cdot) = (1 - p)R_p(x, \cdot)$  where  $p = r/(\alpha + r)$ . We know from our study of discrete potentials that  $(1 - p)R_p(x, \cdot)$  is the probability density function of  $Y_M$  where  $M$  has the geometric distribution on  $\mathbb{N}$  with parameter  $1 - p$  and is independent of  $\mathbf{Y}$ . But also  $X_T = Y_{N_T}$ . So it follows that if  $T$  has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $r$ , and is independent of  $T$ , then  $N_T$  has the geometric distribution on  $\mathbb{N}$  with parameter  $\alpha/(\alpha + r)$ . Of course, we could easily verify this directly, but it's still fun to see such connections.

### Limiting Behavior and Stationary Distributions

Once again, suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $S$  subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and with jump transition matrix  $Q$ . Let  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  denote the jump process. The limiting behavior and stationary distributions of  $\mathbf{X}$  are closely related to those of  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}$  (and hence  $\mathbf{Y}$ ) are irreducible and positive recurrent

1.  $g : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is invariant for  $\mathbf{X}$  if and only if  $g$  is invariant for  $\mathbf{Y}$ .
2.  $f$  is an invariant probability density function for  $\mathbf{X}$  if and only if  $f$  is an invariant probability density function for  $\mathbf{Y}$ .
3.  $\mathbf{X}$  is null recurrent if and only if  $\mathbf{Y}$  is null recurrent, and in this case,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(x, y) = 0$  for  $(x, y) \in S^2$ .
4.  $\mathbf{X}$  is positive recurrent if and only if  $\mathbf{Y}$  is positive recurrent. If  $\mathbf{Y}$  is aperiodic, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(x, y) = f(y)$  for  $(x, y) \in S^2$ , where  $f$  is the invariant probability density function.

### Proof

All of these results follow from the basic theory of stationary and limiting distributions for continuous-time chains, and the fact that the exponential parameter function  $\lambda$  is constant.

### Time Reversal

Once again, suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $S$  subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and with jump transition matrix  $Q$ . Let  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  denote the jump process. We assume that  $\mathbf{X}$  (and hence  $\mathbf{Y}$ ) are irreducible. The time reversal of  $\mathbf{X}$  is closely related to that of  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

Suppose that  $g : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is invariant for  $\mathbf{X}$ . The time reversal  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  with respect to  $g$  is also subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r$ . The jump chain  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  is the (discrete) time reversal of  $\mathbf{Y}$  with respect to  $g$ .

### Proof

From the previous result,  $g$  is also invariant for  $\mathbf{Y}$ . From the general theory of time reversal,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  has the same exponential parameter function as  $\mathbf{X}$  (namely the constant function  $r$ ) and so is also subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r$ . Finally, the jump chain  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  is the reversal of  $\mathbf{Y}$  with respect to  $rg$  and hence also with respect to  $g$ .

In particular,  $\mathbf{X}$  is reversible with respect to  $g$  if and only if  $\mathbf{Y}$  is reversible with respect to  $g$ . As noted earlier,  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are of the same type: both transient or both null recurrent or both positive recurrent. In the recurrent case, there exists a positive invariant function that is unique up to multiplication by constants. In this case, the reversal of  $\mathbf{X}$  is unique, and is the chain subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r$  whose jump chain is the reversal of  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

### Uniform Chains

In the [construction above](#) for a Markov chain  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  that is subordinate to the Poisson process with rate  $r$  and jump transition kernel  $Q$ , we assumed of course that  $Q(x, x) = 0$  for every  $x \in S$ . So there are no absorbing states and the sequence  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots)$  of arrival times of the Poisson process are the jump times of the chain  $\mathbf{X}$ . However in our introduction to continuous-time chains, we saw that the general construction of a chain starting with the function  $\lambda$  and the transition matrix  $Q$  works without this assumption on  $Q$ , although the exponential parameters and transition probabilities change. The same idea works here.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a counting Poisson process with rate  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and that  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a discrete-time Markov chain with transition matrix  $Q$  on  $S \times S$  satisfying  $Q(x, x) < 1$  for  $x \in S$ . Assume also that  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are independent. Define  $X_t = Y_{N_t}$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-Markov chain with exponential parameter function  $\lambda(x) = r[1 - Q(x, x)]$  for  $x \in S$  and jump transition matrix  $\tilde{Q}$  given by

$$\tilde{Q}(x, y) = \frac{Q(x, y)}{1 - Q(x, x)}, \quad (x, y) \in S^2, x \neq y \quad (16.20.10)$$

### Proof

This follows from the result in the introduction.

The Markov chain constructed above is no longer a chain subordinate to the Poisson process by our [definition above](#), since the exponential parameter function is not constant, and the transition times of  $\mathbf{X}$  are no longer the arrival times of the Poisson process. Nonetheless, many of the basic results above still apply.

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  be the Markov chain constructed in the previous theorem. Then

1. For  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , the transition matrix  $P_t$  is given by

$$P_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \frac{(rt)^n}{n!} Q^n \quad (16.20.11)$$

2. For  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , the  $\alpha$  potential matrix is given by

$$U_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{\alpha + r} \right)^n Q^n \quad (16.20.12)$$

3. The generator matrix is  $G = r(Q - I)$
4.  $g : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is invariant for  $\mathbf{X}$  if and only if  $g$  is invariant for  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

Proof

The proofs are just as before.

It's a remarkable fact that every continuous-time Markov chain with bounded exponential parameters can be constructed as in the last theorem, a process known as *uniformization*. The name comes from the fact that in the construction, the exponential parameters become constant, but at the expense of allowing the embedded discrete-time chain to jump from a state back to that state. To review the definition, suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $S$  with transition semigroup  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ , exponential parameter function  $\lambda$  and jump transition matrix  $Q$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}$  is *uniform* if  $P_t(x, x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \downarrow 0$  uniformly in  $x$ , or equivalently if  $\lambda$  is bounded.

Suppose that  $\lambda : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is bounded and that  $Q$  is a transition matrix on  $S$  with  $Q(x, x) = 0$  for every  $x \in S$ . Let  $r \in (0, \infty)$  be an upper bound on  $\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  a Poisson counting process with rate  $r$ . Define the transition matrix  $\hat{Q}$  on  $S$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}(x, x) &= 1 - \frac{\lambda(x)}{r} \quad x \in S \\ \hat{Q}(x, y) &= \frac{\lambda(x)}{r} Q(x, y) \quad (x, y) \in S^2, x \neq y \end{aligned}$$

and let  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a discrete-time Markov chain with transition matrix  $\hat{Q}$ , independent of  $\mathbf{N}$ . Define  $X_t = Y_{N_t}$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain with exponential parameter function  $\lambda$  and jump transition matrix  $Q$ .

Proof

Note that  $\hat{Q}(x, y) \geq 0$  for every  $(x, y) \in S^2$  and  $\sum_{y \in S} \hat{Q}(x, y) = 1$  for every  $x \in S$ . Thus  $\hat{Q}$  is a transition matrix on  $S$ . Note also that  $\hat{Q}(x, x) < 1$  for every  $x \in S$ . By construction,  $\lambda(x) = r[1 - \hat{Q}(x, x)]$  for  $x \in S$  and

$$Q(x, y) = \frac{\hat{Q}(x, y)}{1 - \hat{Q}(x, x)}, \quad (x, y) \in S^2, x \neq y \quad (16.20.13)$$

So the result now follows from the [theorem above](#).

Note in particular that if the state space  $S$  is finite then of course  $\lambda$  is bounded so the previous theorem applies. The theorem is useful for simulating a continuous-time Markov chain, since the Poisson process and discrete-time chains are simple to simulate. In addition, we have nice representations for the transition matrices, potential matrices, and the generator matrix.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  is a continuous-time Markov chain on  $S$  with bounded exponential parameter function  $\lambda : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and jump transition matrix  $Q$ . Define  $r$  and  $\hat{Q}$  as in the last theorem. Then

1. For  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , the transition matrix  $P_t$  is given by

$$P_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \frac{(rt)^n}{n!} \hat{Q}^n \quad (16.20.14)$$

2. For  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , the  $\alpha$  potential matrix is given by

$$U_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha + r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{\alpha + r} \right)^n \hat{Q}^n \quad (16.20.15)$$

3. The generator matrix is  $G = r(\hat{Q} - I)$
4.  $g : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is invariant for  $\mathbf{X}$  if and only if  $g$  is invariant for  $\hat{Q}$ .

Proof

These results follow from the [theorem above](#).

## Examples

### The Two-State Chain

The following exercise applies the uniformization method to the two-state chain.

Consider the continuous-time Markov chain  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$  on  $S = \{0, 1\}$  with exponential parameter function  $\lambda = (a, b)$ , where  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ . Thus, states 0 and 1 are stable and the jump chain has transition matrix

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16.20.16)$$

Let  $r = a + b$ , an upper bound on  $\lambda$ . Show that

1.  $\hat{Q} = \frac{1}{a+b} \begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$
2.  $G = \begin{bmatrix} -a & a \\ b & -b \end{bmatrix}$
3.  $P_t = \hat{Q} - \frac{1}{a+b} e^{-(a+b)t} G$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$
4.  $U_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha} \hat{Q} - \frac{1}{(\alpha+a+b)(a+b)} G$  for  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$

Proof

The form of  $\hat{Q}$  follows easily from the definition [above](#). Note that the rows of  $\hat{Q}$  are the invariant PDF. It then follows that  $\hat{Q}^n = \hat{Q}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . The results for the transition matrix  $P_t$  and the potential  $U_\alpha$  then follow easily from the [theorem above](#).

Although we have obtained all of these results for the two-state chain before, the derivation based on uniformization is the easiest.

This page titled [16.20: Chains Subordinate to the Poisson Process](#) is shared under a [CC BY 2.0](#) license and was authored, remixed, and/or curated by [Kyle Siegrist \(Random Services\)](#) via [source content](#) that was edited to the style and standards of the LibreTexts platform.